

Appendix C Level 2 Review: Scoring Criteria

PRO	POSER NAME:	
<u>REVI</u>	EWER ID:	
	SUMMARY SCORES:	
		Score (max)
I.	Proposed Project Plan	(30)
II.	Qualifications & Relevant Experience	<u>(50)</u>
III.	Budget	(20)
<u> TOT/</u>	AL SCORE:	(100)

Note: Any notes and/or questions should be included below and on a separate piece of paper if necessary



I. PROPOSED PROJECT PLAN

30 points

RATING SCALE

Α	Very strong agreement with criteria
В	Strong agreement with criteria
С	Moderate agreement with criteria
D	Some agreement with criteria
E	Minimal agreement with criteria
F	No agreement or no information was provided

CRITERIA		Circle One							
	CRITERIA		В	С	D	Е	F		
1.	The proposed approach to the project tasks, including explanations and rationales for any suggested modifications, demonstrates an understanding of the project purpose, scope and complexity	10	8	6	4	2	0		
2.	The proposed work plan reflects appropriate activities to carry out the major tasks	5	4	3	2	1	0		
3.	The proposed work is sequenced clearly and logically with a timeline that is appropriate and feasible	5	4	3	2	1	0		
4.	The proposed plan incorporates effective approach to ensuring the evaluation will be rooted in organizational values and priorities	5	4	3	2	1	0		
5.	The proposed plan addresses any anticipated contextual, logistical or methodological challenges and how they will be addressed or mitigated to achieve the project purpose and goals	5	4	3	2	1	0		

Score: (maximum score = 30)

Name of Proposer:



II. QUALIFICATIONS & RELEVANT EXPEREINCE NARRATIVE, PROJECT ORGANIZATONAL CHART, RESUMES, WORK SAMPLES 50 points

RATING SCALE

Α	Proposer has very strong qualifications/experience in specified area
В	Proposer has strong qualifications/experience in specified area
С	Proposer has moderate qualifications/experience in specified area
D	Proposer has some qualifications/experience in specified area
E	Proposer has minimal qualifications/experience in specified area
F	Proposer has no experience or no information was provided for specified area

CDITEDIA		Circle One							
	CRITERIA		В	С	D	E	F		
6.	Narrative of knowledge, experience and current capacity to carry out the selected task's responsibilities listed in Section IV. Scope of Work was exceptional	5	4	3	2	1	0		
7.	Degree to which proposer meets desired knowledge qualifications listed in Section VI. Desired Qualifications	10	8	6	4	2	0		
8.	Degree to which proposer meets desired experience qualifications listed in Section VI. Desired Qualifications	10	8	6	4	2	0		
9.	Project team composition is sufficient to accomplish project tasks	10	8	6	4	2	0		
10	Degree to which list of similar types of projects successfully concluded are comparable	5	4	3	2	1	0		
11	Degree to which work samples demonstrate approach and expertise that are relevant and appropriate to the project	10	8	6	4	2	0		

Score: (maximum score = 50)

Name of Proposer:



III. BUDGET NARRATIVE

20 points

RATING SCALE

Α	Very strong agreement with criteria
В	Strong agreement with criteria
С	Moderate agreement with criteria
D	Some agreement with criteria
E	Minimal agreement with criteria
F	No agreement or no information was provided

CRITERIA		Circle One						
		В	С	D	E	F		
12. The costs of the proposed tasks are realistic to achieve project goals	10	8	6	4	2	0		
13. The rationale for proposed costs for tasks provides sufficient justification and is reasonable	10	8	6	4	2	0		

Score: (maximum score = 20)

Name of Proposer: